

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ZENAIDA CORDOVA,

Plaintiff,

v.

GREG RODRIGUEZ, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:24-cv-00482-JLT-HBK (PC)

ORDER TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT  
OR RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

AUGUST 14, 2025 DEADLINE

This matter was reassigned to the undersigned magistrate judge on July 3, 2025, and is before the Court following review of the file. (*See* Doc. No. 28).

Defendant Pallares filed a motion to dismiss on October 1, 2024. (Doc. No. 16). On October 29, 2024, the previously assigned magistrate judge ordered Plaintiff to file a response to the motion or, in the alternative, statements of non-opposition within thirty days. (Doc. No. 21). To date, Plaintiff has failed to comply with the previous order.<sup>1</sup>

In an effort to move the case forward, Plaintiff will be given a final opportunity to file a response to Defendant's motion to dismiss. Alternatively, to the extent any alleged deficiencies raised in the motion to dismiss can be cured through amendment, Plaintiff may file an amended complaint.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court notes that for at least a portion of the time this case has been pending Plaintiff's counsel was suspended from the practice of law. (*See* Doc. No. 27). However, counsel is now active and eligible to practice law. *See* <https://apps.calbar.ca.gov/attorney/Licensee/Detail/139376>

1 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

2 **No later than August 14, 2025**, Plaintiff shall file either a response to Defendant's  
3 motion to dismiss or an amended complaint. Failure to comply with this order will result in  
4 Defendant's motion being deemed unopposed.

5  
6 Dated: July 31, 2025

  
HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE